



The CICA's Guide to **IFRS in Canada**

About the CICA

The CICA conducts research into current business issues and supports the setting of accounting, auditing and assurance standards for business, not-for-profit organizations and government. It issues guidance on control, best practices and governance, publishes professional literature, develops continuing education programs and represents the CA profession nationally and internationally.

Together with the provincial, territorial and Bermuda Institutes/Ordre of Chartered Accountants, the CICA represents a membership of approximately 72,000 CAs and 10,000 students in Canada and Bermuda.

The CICA's IFRS Website

For the latest and best information to help you manage the transition to IFRS, go to www.cica.ca, or to the website of your Provincial Institute/Ordre, and click on the ***Transition to International Standards*** button on the homepage.



Make sure to bookmark our IFRS site. It will be updated regularly, and is devoted to providing you access to the resources you need to manage a smooth transition.

Foreword

In January 2006, the Canadian Accounting Standards Board (AcSB) announced its decision to replace Canadian Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) for all Canadian Publicly Accountable Enterprises (PAEs). PAEs include listed companies and any other organizations that are responsible to large or diverse groups of stakeholders, including non-listed financial institutions, securities dealers and many co-operative enterprises. Effective January 1, 2011, enterprises issuing financial statements under standards other than IFRS must demonstrate that they are not publicly accountable.

To allow affected companies sufficient time to prepare for the transition, the AcSB announced a five-year transition period, with an expected changeover date of January 1, 2011, for annual periods beginning on or after that date. ***This date will be confirmed by March 31, 2008.***

This transition will be significant and far reaching for affected organizations and all their stakeholders, including their employees, lenders, and investors. The time to begin preparing for the transition is now.

Ron Salole,
Vice President, Standards
Canadian Institute of Chartered Accountants

About this guide

To get you started, the CICA has prepared this quick reference guide to the upcoming changes. It includes a comparison, prepared by the staff of the AcSB, of the current *CICA Handbook* standards (at March 31, 2007) with the comparable IFRS standards. This comparison highlights the key differences and notes any work in process that may affect the standard in the future.

The comparison is organized in the order of the current Canadian standards. However, at the end there is a table of IFRSs in numerical order cross-referenced to the CICA Handbook. A table of IFRS standards with no current Canadian GAAP equivalent is also included.


How the CICA can help

The CICA is the recognized leader in providing information and education support on Canadian accounting standards. The transition to IFRS is the most significant change in Canadian standards in decades, and we are committed to providing our members and the business community with the full range of education and information resources to prepare for it.

To stay informed, visit our website at www.cica.ca and click on the ***Transition to International Standards*** button. It will link you to resources that will support you in leading your company through a successful transition.

Contents

Why IFRS —and why now	1
The Accounting Standards Board's Implementation Strategy	2
Canada's timeline for change	3
The expected impact	4
Implications for private companies	5
How you can prepare for the transition	6
Resources available from the Chartered Accountants of Canada	8
The future of Standard Setting in Canada	9
The CICA Comparison	11
• Canadian Handbook Standards and their IFRS equivalents	12
• IFRS Standards with no CICA Handbook equivalents	90
• IFRS Standards cross referenced to the CICA Handbook	91



Why IFRS — and why now

Businesses and individuals increasingly make decisions in a global context. The goal of IFRS is to improve financial reporting internationally by establishing a single set of high quality, consistent, and comparable reporting standards. In today's increasingly global economy, relevant, reliable, clear and consistent financial reporting will offer an improved basis for decision-making for businesses and investors.

Canada's small, open economy comprises less than 4% of world capital markets. The AcSB is adopting IFRS for publicly accountable enterprises to help them remain competitive within global capital markets. Not only will the adoption of IFRS improve the clarity and comparability of financial information globally; ultimately, it will also prove more efficient and cost effective by eliminating the need for reconciliations of information reported under separate national standards.

Why now

While Canada's standards setters have played a leading role in developing international standards from the onset, Canada's transition to IFRS has been slower than in some other parts of the world. This is because the AcSB has been careful to consult widely with affected entities before determining the best transition approach for Canada. The AcSB also wanted to be confident that the transition to IFRS occurred with as little disruption as possible, allowing enough time for those affected to learn and understand IFRSs to be able to properly plan for their transition.

Over the last few years, over 100 countries, including the European Union, Australia and New Zealand, have adopted IFRS. Pressure on Canadian businesses to harmonize with U.S. GAAP and its higher compliance costs have declined. The U.S. standard setter, Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB), is now working with the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB) to develop **converged** standards; the SEC has proposed to accept foreign issuer filings in accordance with IFRS without reconciliation to U.S. GAAP by 2009.

The world-wide trend to IFRS adoption is clear. The transition to IFRS repositions Canadian financial reporting for the future.

The Accounting Standards Board's Implementation Strategy

The AcSB has developed a measured, thoughtful transition to IFRS. To ensure affected enterprises are adequately prepared for the transition, the AcSB is converging Canadian GAAP with IFRSs over a five-year period which began in 2006, in accordance with a clearly articulated strategy and timetable. During the transition period, the AcSB will be adopting any converged standards that are issued by the IASB and FASB as a result of their joint projects. However, the AcSB will make every effort to not adopt changes to GAAP that would require extensive system changes that would become redundant at changeover in 2011.

It is important to understand that the AcSB's intent is to adopt IFRSs with no modifications. Only in very rare circumstances would the AcSB modify any IFRS, such as, for example, the extra guidance that may be required for not-for-profit organizations because IFRSs do not consider their needs.

To help all stakeholders develop their transition plans, the AcSB has published an 'Implementation Plan for Incorporating IFRS into Canadian GAAP', which includes a comparison of Canadian standards to IFRSs, categorizing them by whether they will be converged prior to the changeover or at the changeover in 2011. You can access this plan through the CICA's IFRS Web site.

Canada's timeline for change

January 1, 2011 is the *expected* changeover date at which International Financial Reporting Standards will replace Canadian GAAP for all publicly accountable enterprises. The date is for all annual periods beginning on or after that date.

This date will be confirmed by March 31, 2008. The AcSB is conducting a progress review prior to finalizing the appropriateness of the date.

To provide Canada's publicly accountable enterprises with sufficient time to adapt their expertise, systems and procedures to the transition, the AcSB is implementing the changes in a thoughtful, orderly manner. The approach is intended to minimize the disruption and ensure an effective changeover.

In developing an IFRS transition plan, organizations will be required to start collecting data in accordance with IFRS requirements earlier than January 1, 2011. Organizations preparing financial statements under IFRS in 2011 will need to start collecting data under IFRS for comparative purposes in 2010. SEC registrants may need two years of comparative data, which would require data collection in accordance with IFRSs in 2009.

The expected impact

IFRSs are generally similar to Canadian GAAP. They are based on a similar conceptual framework with similar style and form, and generally reach similar conclusions. This fundamental similarity, combined with the orderly, five-year transition period adopted by the AcSB, should ensure a relatively smooth transition for Canada's publicly accountable enterprises.

This doesn't mean the transition will be easy. Significant change will be required at the detail level. Indeed, the transition to IFRS will require education and process change on the part of Canadian Publicly Accountable Enterprises, their investors, lenders and advisors.

The change to IFRS will affect more than financial reporting. It is important that the entire organization be involved in assessing its implications. Many areas of the business will be affected, including lending agreements, debt covenants, and bonus-based remuneration plans. The comprehensive technological systems that companies use to record and analyze financial transactions and related company-specific infrastructure may need to be modified. There may also be tax implications.

IFRS implementation will provide an excellent opportunity for companies to undertake a 'big picture' review of their financial reporting, to make sure it is providing the right information needed for good decision making.

We at the CICA have a solid understanding of the changes and their likely implications. This understanding is grounded in research, and on ongoing interaction with CAs and other affected members of the business community. You can rely on the full range of information and education resources we offer to help you prepare for the impact.

Implications for private companies

While IFRS will be mandatory only for Canada's publicly accountable enterprises, private companies can choose to use them.

Financial reporting standards for private companies are now under careful review by the AcSB, which is in the process of conducting extensive consultation with stakeholders. This consultation process is expected to continue until mid 2008.

The AcSB has issued a discussion paper offering three possible approaches to changes in GAAP for private companies:

- A "top-down" approach based on public company GAAP, eliminating and modifying some IFRS requirements;
- IFRS-SME — the International Accounting Standards Board's proposed standards for small and medium-sized enterprises; and
- An independently developed set of Canadian accounting standards for private enterprises that shares the same conception framework as IFRS.

You can participate in this discussion. You can download the position paper from the CICA's ***Transition to International Standards*** website, or from the AcSB web site. Full instructions for submitting comments are provided.

How you can prepare for the transition

The AcSB has begun the convergence of Canadian GAAP with IFRS for publicly accountable enterprises. Preparing for transition is the crucial first step for all those with a stake in the financial information prepared and presented by publicly accountable enterprises. We know what the new standards will be, and the processes and timetable the AcSB are following to incorporate them into Canadian GAAP.

The time to begin is now. Familiarizing yourself with this guide is an important first step. We encourage you to:

- **Appoint a project team** who will be responsible for assessing, developing and implementing the transition. Depending on your organization, team members could include organization-wide representation, including accounting and finance, information technology support, and even human resources. At least one team member should have strong project management skills. Some team members may need training in specific IFRSs, but not everyone needs to be experts in IFRSs.
- **Learn about IFRS.** The CICA's IFRS website is an excellent place to start. Together with the Provincial Institutes/Ordre, we offer courses, conferences, seminars and self study programs that will help you develop the level of expertise in IFRS that you will need to meet your professional responsibilities.
- **Assess the impact of IFRS on your specific situation.** The CICA is developing a number of tools and guides to help you in the assessment process.

- **Assess your options under IFRS 1**, first-time Adoption of International Financial Reporting Standards – this standard allows one time options for enterprises converting to IFRS from their national GAAP. Consider them early in the process so you will be aware of them, even before you know the details of all IFRSs, so you can return to them when you are assessing which accounting policies you will choose.
- **Begin to draft your plan.** A formal, written plan that clearly lays out responsibilities, is kept current, and is accessible to everyone affected will be critical to a successful transition.
- **Communicate with your Board, your auditors, your investors, your lenders, your employees, and other stakeholders.** The transition to IFRS has the potential to affect everyone; it's your responsibility to make sure they are aware of that.

Remember to bookmark the CICA's IFRS website.

Go to www.cica.ca, and click on the Transition to International Standards button. It will keep you up to date on the transition process, and provide you with immediate access to the IFRS information and resources offered by the Chartered Accountants of Canada.

Resources available from the Chartered Accountants of Canada

The CA profession's capacity to provide information and knowledge development on IFRS throughout the transition is unrivalled. The CICA (and the Provincial Institutes/Ordre) will provide a full range of information and learning opportunities to enable members and the broader financial community to develop the knowledge and expertise they will need to fulfill their professional obligations to their employers, clients and the public, and to make the necessary transitions in a seamless, effective and efficient manner.

You can access the IFRS resources of the CA profession through our website at www.cica.ca, or through your provincial institute/ordre web site. Just click on the ***Transition to International Standards*** button.

Resources available include:

- IFRS Information and Guidance
- IFRS performance support, including tools to help the managers of affected entities assess their likely needs, and plan for the transition
- Training and self-study materials
- Up to date course and conference registration information
- Industry-specific materials
- Classroom support materials for academics and students
- AcSB and AASB materials
- Overview of international experience
- Links to other high quality information sources, in Canada and internationally.

The future of Standard Setting in Canada

Canadian Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) are now set by the Canadian Accounting Standards Board (AcSB), an independent body with the authority to develop and establish standards and guidance governing financial accounting and reporting in Canada. GAAP is embedded in over 100 pieces of federal and provincial legislation. The Accounting Standards Board is now in the process of converging Canadian GAAP with IFRS. IFRS will become Canadian GAAP for publicly accountable enterprises by January 1, 2011.

International Financial Reporting Standards are set by the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB), an independent, international body dedicated exclusively to the development of international standards in accounting and financial reporting. The IASB comprises 14 members, appointed and overseen by the International Accounting Standards Committee Foundation (IASCF). Individual members are selected for their expertise in standard setting; with the Board as a whole representing global diversity and multiple perspectives. Canada has had a member on the IASB throughout the critical phase of developing and launching International Financial Reporting Standards for publicly accounting enterprises.

The Canadian Accounting Standards Board will maintain its standard setting capability. While its role in setting standards will change, it will continue its vital role of influencing the future directions of financial reporting standards to ensure that the Canadian point of view is continually and strongly represented.



The CICA GAAP/IFRS Concordance

Canadian Handbook Standards and their IFRS equivalents



(Updated to March 31, 2007)

1. This comparison has been prepared by the staff of the Accounting Standards Board (AcSB) to provide a high-level comparison of current Canadian standards and International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRSs). Its purpose is to provide readers with information about the extent of similarity between Canadian GAAP and IFRSs.
2. This comparison covers significant differences¹ only and does not include all of the differences that might arise in a particular entity's circumstances. It should not be used in preparing financial statements. To understand fully the implications of applying, and preparing financial statements in accordance with, IFRSs, users of this comparison and financial statement preparers must refer to the standards themselves. AcSB staff is also maintaining a more detailed comparison for those interested in comparison at a more technical level. It is available on the AcSB's website at www.acsbcanada.org (see "International Activities").
3. IFRSs are based on a conceptual framework that is substantially the same as that on which Canadian standards are based. IFRSs cover many of the same topics and reach similar conclusions on many issues. The style and form of IFRSs are generally quite similar to Canadian standards and considerably more similar than U.S. standards (although there is some variation within all three sets of standards). IFRSs are laid out in the same way as the *CICA Handbook – Accounting* (Handbook) Sections, highlight the principles and use similar language. Individual IFRSs and Handbook Sections are of similar length and depth of detail. The complete sets of standards are also similar in length.



4. This comparison is organized primarily according to Handbook Sections and Guidelines, but includes at the end those IFRSs for which there are no Canadian equivalents. The comparison includes EIC Abstracts and the IFRS equivalents only to the extent that a significant issue is covered directly in one set of standards but addressed through an interpretation in the other. The comparisons reflect standards issued as of March 31, 2007. Effective dates may be after March 31, 2007.
5. The IASB, FASB and AcSB have active standard-setting projects in process. In a number of cases, this work in process will eliminate differences that exist today. The comparison identifies this work in process and comments on the extent to which the work in process is expected to eliminate existing differences. The comparison also comments on the extent to which entities would be required to (rather than permitted to) make a change to their accounting if IFRSs were to replace Canadian standards. In this regard, the significance of differences indicates whether there is, or is expected to continue to be, a significant conflict between IFRSs and Canadian standards. When there is a significant conflict, it is likely that affected entities would need to change their accounting to comply with IFRSs.
6. The term “converged” has been used in the comparison when related Canadian standards and IFRSs are substantially similar. There will inevitably be differences at a more detailed level, both as a result of different levels of guidance and different ways of expressing similar ideas.
7. A table of concordance at the end of the document lists the International Financial Reporting Standards in numerical order with the Canadian GAAP counterpart(s) noted in the columns to the right.

1 The assessment of **significance of differences** is a judgment made by AcSB staff in general terms. A difference may be significant to a particular transaction or entity depending on its materiality or nature. The AcSB staff assessment takes into account the expected results of work in process.

CICA Handbook

Section 1000: Financial Statement Concepts

IFRS equivalent:

IASB Framework

IAS 1: Presentation of Financial Statements

Comparison of accounting treatments

Section 1000 and the IASB Framework are **converged**, **except** that:

- (i) the IASB Framework does not explicitly address not-for-profit organizations; and
- (ii) the IASB Framework describes concepts of financial and physical capital maintenance without prescribing that a particular concept should apply, whereas Section 1000 specifies that financial statements are prepared with capital maintenance measured in financial terms.

IAS 1 provides **more comprehensive** guidance on going concern than Section 1000.

Work in process:

IASB and FASB have commenced a project to develop a **converged** conceptual framework. Canada is participating. The IASB/FASB project is a long-term project — parts of which might not be complete until after changeover.

AcSB has approved amendments to Section 1400 that converge with the going concern paragraphs of IAS 1.

AcSB has issued an Exposure Draft on internally developed intangible assets, the proposals of which would require changes to Section 1000. If adopted, the changes would clarify the role of “matching” in financial reporting and make Section 1000 more similar to the framework in this regard.

Significance of differences

Slight (indirect effect only).





CICA Handbook

Section 1100: Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

IFRS equivalent:

IAS 8: Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting
Estimates and Errors
Comparison of accounting treatments

Section 1100 and the corresponding requirements of IAS 8 are **converged, except** that Section 1100 provides a temporary exception for recognition and measurement of assets and liabilities arising from rate regulation.

Work in process:

AcSB has issued an Exposure Draft that will eliminate the exception.

Significance of differences

Slight for some entities. Possibly significant for entities subject to rate regulation.

CICA Handbook

Section 1300: Differential Reporting

IFRS equivalent:

Comparison of accounting treatments

There is **no corresponding IFRS**. All entities adopting IFRSs apply the standards in full.

Work in process:

IASB has issued an Exposure Draft for small and medium-sized entities (SMEs), which may develop alternative guidance for such entities.

Significance of differences

Significant for entities qualifying for differential reporting,² dependant on outcomes of IASB's SME Project and AcSB's Private Company Strategy.



2 The AcSB's Strategic Plan does not reach any conclusion as to whether, or to what extent, non-publicly accountable enterprises would be required to adopt IFRSs. However, some may choose to do so.



CICA Handbook

Section 1400: General Standards of Financial Statement Presentation

IFRS equivalent:

IAS 1: Presentation of Financial Statements

Comparison of accounting treatments

Section 1400 and the corresponding requirements of IAS 1 are **converged, except** that IAS 1:

- (i) permits departure from standards on grounds of fair presentation if the relevant regulatory framework for the enterprise permits or requires such a departure;
- (ii) does not require a statement of retained earnings, but does require a statement of changes in equity; and
- (iii) does not permit comparative information to be omitted in the rare circumstances when it is not meaningful.

Work in process:

IASB and FASB have commenced a project on Financial Statement Presentation to improve the presentation of information in certain financial statements. AcSB intends to issue **converged** standards at the same time.

Significance of differences

Slight — no significant conflicts.

CICA Handbook

Section 1505: Disclosure of Accounting Policies

IFRS equivalent:

IAS 1: Presentation of Financial Statements

Comparison of accounting treatments

Section 1505 and the corresponding requirements of IAS 1 are **converged, except** that IAS 1 requires disclosure of judgments made in the process of applying accounting policies. Certain Canadian standards on individual financial statement items require disclosure of assumptions.

Work in process:

None.

Significance of differences

Slight — no significant conflicts.





CICA Handbook

Section 1506: Accounting Changes

IFRS equivalent:

IAS 8: Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors

Comparison of accounting treatments

Section 1506 and the corresponding requirements of IAS 8 are **converged, except** that IAS 8 allows an entity to be exempt from the requirement to restate prior periods for the correction of an error on grounds of impracticability.

Work in process:

AcSB decided not to adopt this aspect of IAS 8 in its project to revise Section 1506. The AcSB decided to maintain convergence with U.S. GAAP on this aspect until changeover.

Significance of differences

Slight — no significant conflicts.

CICA Handbook

Section 1508: Measurement Uncertainty

IFRS equivalent:

IAS 1: Presentation of Financial Statements

IAS 37: Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets

Comparison of accounting treatments

Section 1508 and the corresponding requirements of IAS 1 and IAS 37 are **converged, except** that IFRSs:

- (i) contain additional disclosure requirements; and
- (ii) do not allow an exemption from these disclosures, including the recognized amount, based on seriously prejudicial circumstances.

Work in process:

None.

Significance of differences

Only the inability for an entity to avoid disclosure based on seriously prejudicial circumstances might be significant.





CICA Handbook

Section 1510: Current Assets and Current Liabilities

IFRS equivalent:

IAS 1: Presentation of Financial Statements

Comparison of accounting treatments

Section 1510 is **less comprehensive** than IAS 1 as IAS 1:

- (i) requires presentation in order of liquidity when such presentation provides information that is reliable and more relevant; and
- (ii) requires current classification of breached long-term liabilities unless refinancing is complete by the balance sheet date.

Work in process:

IASB and FASB have commenced a project on Financial Statement Presentation to improve the presentation of information in certain financial statements. AcSB intends to issue **converged** standards at the same time.

Significance of differences

May significantly affect presentation, though only the second difference is a presentation conflict.

CICA Handbook

Section 1520: Income Statement

IFRS equivalent:

IAS 1: Presentation of Financial Statements

Comparison of accounting treatments

Section 1520 and the corresponding requirements of IAS 1 are **converged, except** that Section 1520 provides more specific guidance on the items to be disclosed in the income statement.

Work in process:

IASB and FASB have commenced a project on Financial Statement Presentation to improve the presentation of information in certain financial statements. AcSB intends to issue **converged** standards at the same time.

Significance of differences

Slight — no significant conflicts.

Work in process likely to reduce differences further, but also to result in significant change from present requirements and practices.





CICA Handbook

Section 1530: Comprehensive Income

IFRS equivalent:

IAS 1: Presentation of Financial Statements

Comparison of accounting treatments

Section 1530 and the corresponding requirements of IAS 1 are **converged**.

Work in process:

IASB has issued an Exposure Draft, the proposals in which would limit the possible choices of presentation compared to those available in accordance with Canadian standards. AcSB has decided not to converge with the proposals in that Exposure Draft at this time. However, see also Section 1520 above.

Significance of differences

Slight — no significant conflicts.

CICA Handbook

Section 1535: Capital Disclosures

IFRS equivalent:

IAS 1: Presentation of Financial Statements

Comparison of accounting treatments

Section 1535 and the corresponding requirements of IAS 1 are **converged**.

Work in process:

None.

Significance of differences

No conflicts.





CICA Handbook

Section 1540: Cash Flow Statements

IFRS equivalent:

IAS 7: Cash Flow Statements

Comparison of accounting treatments

Section 1540 and IAS 7 are **converged, except** that IAS 7 does not prohibit the disclosure of cash flow per share amounts.

Work in process:

IASB and FASB have commenced a project on Financial Statement Presentation to improve the presentation of information in certain financial statements. AcSB intends to issue **converged** standards at the same time.

Significance of differences

Slight — no significant conflicts.

CICA Handbook**Section 1581: Business Combinations****IFRS equivalent:**

IFRS 3: Business Combinations

Comparison of accounting treatments

Section 1581 and IFRS 3 are **converged**, except that IFRS 3:

- (i) requires the acquisition date to be the date on which the acquirer obtains control over the acquired entity or business;
- (ii) requires that shares issued as consideration be measured based on their fair value at the date of the exchange transaction;
- (iii) does not allow the use of the acquiree's share of the fair value of the net assets or equity instruments acquired if that is more reliably measurable, in determining the cost of a business combination;
- (iv) requires that contingent consideration be recognized when it is probable that it will be paid and can be reliably measured;
- (v) requires that any negative goodwill be recognized immediately in profit or loss; and
- (vi) requires the acquirer to recognize the acquiree's identifiable assets, liabilities and contingent liabilities at their fair values at the acquisition date (rather than the acquirer's share only), thus resulting in any non-controlling interest in the acquiree being stated at the non-controlling interest's portion of the net fair values of those items.

Work in process:

IASB and FASB have commenced a project on business combinations to develop new requirements for purchase method procedures. AcSB intends to issue **converged** standards at the same time.

Significance of differences

May be significant for entities undertaking business combinations.

Differences expected to be eliminated by work in process but also to result in change from present requirements and practices.





CICA Handbook

Section 1590: Subsidiaries

IFRS equivalent:

IAS 27: Consolidated and Separate Financial Statements

Comparison of accounting treatments

Section 1590 and IAS 27 are **converged**, **except** that IAS 27 assesses control at a point in time, whereas Section 1590 assesses control based on an entity's continuing ability to make strategic policy decisions.

(See also AcG-15, AcG-18, and SIC-12 below.)

Work in process:

IASB and FASB have commenced a project on consolidation, which intends to develop a comprehensive definition of control. AcSB intends to issue **converged** standards at the same time.

Significance of differences

Slight, although the difference would be a conflict in the few cases in which it might occur.

Differences expected to be eliminated by work in process but also to result in change from present requirements and practices.

CICA Handbook

Section 1600: Consolidated Financial Statements

IFRS equivalent:

IAS 27: Consolidated and Separate Financial Statements

IFRS 3: Business Combinations

Comparison of accounting treatments

Section 1600 and IFRS 3 and IAS 27 are **converged**, except that IFRSs:

- (i) have less detail on dilution gains and step acquisitions;
- (ii) require non-controlling interests to be shown within equity separately from the parent shareholders' equity (as a consequence, non-controlling interest's share of net income is reported as an allocation within equity, rather than as income or expense in the income statement); and
- (iii) require non-controlling interests to be stated at their proportion of the net fair value of the acquired net assets, rather than at the subsidiary's carrying amount.

(See also Section 1581 above and AcG-18 below.)

Work in process:

IASB and FASB have commenced projects on business combinations and consolidations to converge the standards. AcSB intends to issue **converged** standards at the same time.

Significance of differences

May be significant for consolidated financial statements of certain entities.

Differences expected to be eliminated by work in process but also to result in significant change from present requirements and practices.





CICA Handbook

Section 1625: Comprehensive Revaluation of Assets and Liabilities

IFRS equivalent:

Comparison of accounting treatments

There is no corresponding IFRS.

Work in process:

None.

Significance of differences

Could be significant for entities undertaking reorganizations and certain business acquisitions.

CICA Handbook

Section 1651: Foreign Currency Translation

EIC-130: Translation Method When the Reporting Currency Differs from the Measurement Currency or There is a Change in the Reporting Currency

IFRS equivalent:

IAS 21: The Effects of Changes in Foreign Exchange Rates

IAS 29: Financial Reporting in Hyperinflationary Economies

Comparison of accounting treatments

Section 1651 and EIC-130 and IAS 21 are **converged, except** that IAS 21 requires that non-monetary items measured at fair value be translated at the date when the fair value was determined rather than the balance sheet date.

For accounting in highly inflationary environments, IAS 29 is **more comprehensive** than Section 1651, including providing requirements for restating financial statements to an inflation-adjusted basis before translation.

Work in process:

None

Significance of differences

Differences could be significant depending on an entity's foreign denominated assets.

Significant for entities operating in a highly inflationary environment.





CICA Handbook

Section 1701: Segment Disclosures

IFRS equivalent:

IFRS 8: Operating Segments

Comparison of accounting treatments

Section 1701 and IFRS 8 are **converged** except that:

- (i) IFRS 8 only applies to listed entities and those in the process of filing;
- (ii) IFRS 8 requires the disclosure of segment liabilities; and
- (iii) IFRSs do not recognize extraordinary items.

Work in process:

None

Significance of differences

Slight — no significant conflicts.

CICA Handbook

Section 1751: Interim Financial Statements

IFRS equivalent:

IAS 34: Interim Financial Reporting

Comparison of accounting treatments

Section 1751 and IAS 34 are **converged, except** that:

- (i) IAS 34 contemplates providing a condensed set of financial statements;
- (ii) IAS 34 does not require the presentation of a cash flow statement for the current interim period, only for the cumulative period;
- (iii) IAS 34 precludes the deferral, in interim periods, of manufacturing cost variances that are expected to be absorbed by year end; and
- (iv) IAS 34 treats the initial recognition of a previously unrecognized income tax asset as an adjustment to the estimated average annual effective income tax rate used in determining interim period tax expense, rather than as a separate item of the income tax expense.

Work in process:

None.

Significance of differences

Slight — no significant conflicts.





CICA Handbook

Section 1800: Unincorporated Businesses

IFRS equivalent:.

Comparison of accounting treatments

There is **no corresponding IFRS**.

Work in process:

IASB issued an Exposure Draft on accounting standards for small and medium-sized entities, which may develop guidance applicable to some such entities.

Significance of differences

Significant impact for unincorporated businesses.³

³ The AcSB's Strategic Plan does not reach any conclusion as to whether, or to what extent, non-publicly accountable enterprises would be required to adopt IFRSs. However, some may do so.

CICA Handbook
Section 3000: Cash

IFRS equivalent:

IAS 1: Presentation of Financial Statements

Comparison of accounting treatments

Section 3000 and the corresponding requirements of IAS 1 are **converged**.

Work in process:

None.

Significance of differences

Slight — no significant conflicts.





CICA Handbook

Section 3020: Accounts and Notes Receivable

IFRS equivalent:

IAS 1: Presentation of Financial Statements

Comparison of accounting treatments

Section 3020 and the corresponding requirements of IAS 1 are **converged**.

Work in process:

None.

Significance of differences

Slight — no significant conflicts.

CICA Handbook

Section 3025: Impaired Loans

IFRS equivalent:

IAS 39: Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement

Comparison of accounting treatments

Section 3025 and related requirements in IAS 39 are **converged, except** that IAS 39 is more stringent regarding general loan loss allowances.

Work in process:

None.

Significance of differences

Significant for entities with large loan portfolios.





CICA Handbook

Section 3030 : Inventories

IFRS equivalent:

IAS 2: Inventories

Comparison of accounting treatments

Section 3030 is **less comprehensive** than IAS 2 as IAS 2:

- (i) requires inventories to be measured at the lower of cost and net realizable value;
- (ii) contains more extensive guidance on the determination of cost, including guidance on the allocation of overhead; and
- (iii) requires recognition of a reversal arising from an increase in net realizable value (however, like IAS 2, Canadian practice would generally carry inventory at the lower of cost and net realizable value). IAS 2 also prohibits the last-in-first-out method of cost determination.

(See IAS 41, Agriculture under "IASB standards that have no Canadian counterpart" below.)

Work in process:

AcSB approved Section 3031 , which replaces Section 3030. Section 3031 is substantially converged with IAS 2.

Significance of differences

Differences for many entities expected to be eliminated by work in process but also to result in significant change from present requirements and practices.

CICA Handbook

Section 3040: Prepaid Expenses

IFRS equivalent:

IAS 1: Presentation of Financial Statements

Comparison of accounting treatments

Section 3040 and the corresponding requirements of IAS 1 are **converged**.

Work in process:

None.

Significance of differences

Slight — no significant conflicts.





CICA Handbook

Section 3051: Investments

IFRS equivalent:

IAS 28: Investments in Associates

IAS 36: Impairment of Assets

Comparison of accounting treatments

Section 3051 and the corresponding requirements in IAS 28 and IAS 36 are **converged**, **except** that IFRSs:

- (i) require an impairment to be recognized when the recoverable amount of an asset is less than the carrying amount, rather than when there is a significant or prolonged decline in value below the carrying amount;
- (ii) determine the impairment loss as being the excess of the carrying amount above the recoverable amount (the higher of fair value less costs to sell and value in use, calculated as the present value of future cash flows from the asset), rather than the excess of the carrying amount above the undiscounted future cash flows of the asset; and
- (iii) require the reversal of an impairment loss when the recoverable amount changes.

(See also AcG-18 below.)

Work in process:

Convergence of impairment requirement identified as longer-term convergence project.

Significance of differences

Significant difference in impairment requirements.

CICA Handbook

Section 3055: Interests in Joint Ventures

IFRS equivalent:

IAS 31: Investments in Joint Ventures

Comparison of accounting treatments

Section 3055 **differs** from IAS 31 as IAS 31:

- (i) permits the use of either the proportionate consolidation method or the equity method to account for joint ventures; and
- (ii) excludes a venturer's interest in a joint venture held by a venture capital organization, mutual fund, unit trust or similar entity.

Work in process:

IASB has commenced a project to remove the option for accounting for interests in jointly controlled entities using the proportionate consolidation method. AcSB plans to consider similar revisions.

Significance of differences

Slight — no significant conflicts, because IFRS provides the option to use proportionate consolidation. However, may be conflicts for venture capital organizations, mutual funds, unit trusts and similar entities.

Work in process likely to reduce differences in accounting methods, but also to result in significant change from present requirements if IASB decides to eliminate the proportionate consolidation method.





CICA Handbook

Section 3061: Property, Plant and Equipment

IFRS equivalent:

IAS 16: Property, Plant and Equipment

IAS 36: Impairment of Assets

IAS 40: Investment Property

IFRS 6: Exploration for and Evaluation of Mineral Resources

Comparison of accounting treatments

Section 3061 and IAS 16, IAS 36 and IAS 40 are **converged**, **except** that:

- (i) IAS 16 permits the revaluation of property, plant and equipment to fair value;
- (ii) IAS 16 requires the depreciable amount to be the asset cost less its residual value, rather than using the greater of the asset cost less its residual value or asset cost less its salvage value;
- (iii) IAS 36 requires discounting in determining the net recoverable amount of property, plant and equipment;
- (iv) IAS 40 allows investment property to be accounted for using a fair value or a cost-based model;
- (v) IFRSs contain an exemption from applying the GAAP hierarchy to develop accounting policies for exploration and evaluation activities; and
- (vi) IFRS 6 provides limited guidance on the financial reporting for exploration for, and evaluation of, mineral resources.

Certain portions of Section 3061 and all of AcG-16 and EIC-126 are **more comprehensive** than IAS 16 with respect to mineral resources. Section 3061 does not contain an exemption from applying the GAAP hierarchy to develop accounting policies for exploration and evaluation activities.

IFRS 6 provides limited guidance on the financial reporting for exploration and evaluation of, mineral resources. Some portions of Section 3061 and all of AcG-16 and EIC-126 are **more comprehensive** than IFRS 6, as IFRS 6 only provides guidance during the exploration and the evaluation of mineral resources up to the point that technical feasibility and commercial viability of extracting is demonstrated. IFRS 6 would permit a form of full cost accounting only during the exploration and evaluation phases, but the full cost accounting model cannot be extended to development and production phases. Accounting during these phases will generally be by analogy to IAS 16 and IAS 36.

(See also AcG-16 and EIC-126 below.)

Work in process:

IASB research project on extractive industries is in progress. AcSB expects to reconsider its standards in conjunction with that project. Convergence of impairment requirements identified as longer-term convergence project.

Significance of differences

Significant difference for impairment.

Slight for other aspects of cost-based accounting model
— no significant conflicts.

Extractive industries expected to be addressed by work in process but also to result in significant change from present requirements and practices.





CICA Handbook

Section 3062: Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

IFRS equivalent:

IAS 36: Impairment of Assets

IAS 38: Intangible Assets

Comparison of accounting treatments

Section 3062 is **less comprehensive** than IAS 38 as IAS 38 provides more guidance on intangible assets. Also, IAS 38 permits revaluation at fair value for intangible assets that have an active market.

Section 3062 and IAS 38 guidance on accounting for goodwill are **converged**.

Section 3062 uses a **different model** from IAS 36 and IAS 38 for testing impairment as IAS 36:

- (i) includes identifiable indefinite life intangible assets in the cash-generating unit to which it relates;
- (ii) might require goodwill impairment assessments to be made below the level of the reporting unit, at the cash generating unit; and
- (iii) determines an impairment loss as the excess of the carrying amount above the recoverable amount of the cash generating unit to which the goodwill is allocated to, rather than the difference between carrying amount and fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill.

(See also Section 3051 above and 3063 below.)

Work in process:

Convergence of impairment requirements identified as longer-term convergence project.

AcSB has issued an Exposure Draft on Internally Developed Intangible Assets (IDIA), the proposals of which would narrow the differences between Section 3062 and IAS 38. It is intended that the IDIA project will improve the convergence with IAS 38.

IASB and FASB have identified intangible assets as a topic for longer-term convergence.

Significance of differences

Significant for entities with large amount of intangible assets.

CICA Handbook

Section 3063: Impairment of Long-lived Assets

IFRS equivalent:

IAS 36: Impairment of Assets

Comparison of accounting treatments

Section 3063 **differs** from IAS 36 as IAS 36:

- (i) does not include a separate “trigger” for recognizing impairment losses based on an assessment of undiscounted cash flows;
- (ii) determines an impairment loss as the excess of the carrying amount of an asset or group of assets above the recoverable amount (the higher of fair value less costs to sell and value in use), rather than the difference between carrying amount and fair value; and
- (iii) requires the reversal of an impairment loss when there has been a change in estimates used to determine the recoverable amount.

(See also Sections 3051 and 3062 above.)

Work in process:

Convergence of impairment requirements identified as longer-term convergence project.

Significance of differences

Significant for most entities.





CICA Handbook
Section 3065: Leases

IFRS equivalent:

IAS 17: Leases

Comparison of accounting treatments

Section 3065 and IAS 17 are **converged, except** that:

- (i) IAS 17 uses the term “finance lease” in the same manner as Section 3065 uses “capital lease”;
- (ii) IAS 17 does not subdivide finance leases into sales-type leases and direct financing leases; and
- (iii) disclosure requirements vary.

Work in process:

IASB and FASB have commenced a project on lease accounting, likely to result in a significantly different accounting model.

Significance of differences

Slight — no significant conflicts but work in process likely to result in significant change from present requirements and practices.

CICA Handbook

Section 3110: Asset Retirement Obligations

EIC-159: Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations

IFRS equivalent:

IAS 37: Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets

Comparison of accounting treatments

Section 3110 is **more comprehensive** than the corresponding requirements of IAS 37. Also, IAS 37 requires the use of management's best estimate of the enterprise's cash outflows, rather than fair value measurement on initial recognition, and requires the use of current interest rates in each estimate.

Work in process:

IASB has issued an Exposure Draft proposing amendments to IAS 37, however, the differences noted remain. AcSB is not undertaking a project to adopt the proposals in that Exposure Draft at this time.

Significance of differences

Significant for entities with large asset retirement obligations.





CICA Handbook

Section 3210: Long-Term Debt

IFRS equivalent:

IAS 1: Presentation of Financial Statements

Comparison of accounting treatments

Section 3210 and the corresponding requirements of IAS 1 are **converged**.

Work in process:

None.

Significance of differences

Slight — no significant conflicts.

CICA Handbook

Section 3240: Share Capital

IFRS equivalent:

IAS 1: Presentation of Financial Statements

Comparison of accounting treatments

Section 3240 and the corresponding requirements of IAS 1 are **converged**.

Work in process:

None.

Significance of differences

Slight — no significant conflicts.





CICA Handbook
Section 3251: Equity

IFRS equivalent:

IAS 1: Presentation of Financial Statements

Comparison of accounting treatments

Section 3251 and the corresponding requirements of IAS 1 are **converged**.

Work in process:

See Section 1520 above.

Significance of differences

Slight — no significant conflicts.

CICA Handbook
Section 3260: Reserves

IFRS equivalent:

IAS 1: Presentation of Financial Statements

Comparison of accounting treatments

Section 3260 and the corresponding requirements of IAS 1 are **converged**.

Work in process:

None.

Significance of differences

Slight — no significant conflicts.



***CICA Handbook*****Section 3280: Contractual Obligations****IFRS equivalent:**

IAS 1: Presentation of Financial Statements

IAS 16: Property, Plant and Equipment

Comparison of accounting treatments

Section 3280 and the corresponding requirements of IAS 1 and IAS 16 are **converged**.

Work in process:

None.

Significance of differences

Slight — no significant conflicts.

CICA Handbook

Section 3290:Contingencies

IFRS equivalent:

IAS 37: Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets

Comparison of accounting treatments

Section 3290 and IAS 37 are **converged, except** that when a contingency under IAS 37 meets recognition criteria it is treated as a provision, or if it is a debit balance it is recognized as an asset when realization of income is virtually certain.

(See also AcG-14 below.)

Work in process:

IASB has issued an Exposure Draft proposing amendments to IAS 37. AcSB is not undertaking a project to adopt the proposals in that Exposure Draft at this time.

Significance of differences

Slight — only difference is for recognition of assets when income is virtually certain.

If the IASB Exposure Draft is adopted, differences could be significant for those affected.





CICA Handbook
Section 3400: Revenue
EIC-141: Revenue Recognition

IFRS equivalent:

IAS 11: Construction Contracts

IAS 18: Revenue

SIC-31: Revenue — Barter Transactions Involving Advertising Services

Comparison of accounting treatments

Recognition criteria in Section 3400 and EIC 141 and IAS 11, IAS 18 and SIC-31 are **converged, except** that:

- (i) IAS 11 does not allow the completed contract method;
- (ii) IAS 11 provides more guidance on work in process;
- (iii) IAS 18 includes measurement standards requiring fair value for consideration received or receivable;
- (iv) SIC-31 deals with barter transactions involving advertising services specifically;
- (v) IFRSs do not provide specific guidance regarding goods with right of return, like EIC 141; and
- (vi) both sets of standards have application guidance in various other related standards.

(See also AcG-2 and AcG-4 below.)

Work in process:

IASB and FASB have commenced a project on revenue recognition, likely to result in a significantly different accounting model.

Significance of differences

Significant for all entities until work in process eliminates differences, but work in process likely to result in significant change from present requirements and practices.

CICA Handbook

Section 3450: Research and Development Costs

IFRS equivalent:

IAS 38: Intangible Assets

Comparison of accounting treatments

Section 3450 and IAS 38 are **converged, except** that IAS 38 allows for periodic revaluation of intangible assets that have an active market.

Work in process:

IASB and FASB are considering this topic in their short-term convergence project.

The AcSB has a project on Internally Developed Intangible Assets that is intended to converge more closely with IAS 38.

Significance of differences

Slight — no significant conflicts.





CICA Handbook

Section 3461: Employee Future Benefits

IFRS equivalent:

IAS 19: Employee Benefits

Comparison of accounting treatments

Section 3461 and IAS 19 are **converged, except** that IAS 19:

- (i) requires plan assets to be measured at fair value for all purposes at all reporting dates;
- (ii) requires past service costs to be recognized on a straight-line basis over the average period until the amended benefits become vested;
- (iii) requires multi-employer plans with defined benefit characteristics to be accounted for as defined benefit plans; and
- (iv) permits a choice of recognizing actuarial gains and losses directly in equity in the period in which they occur, without subsequent recycling to net income.

Work in process:

IASB has issued an Exposure Draft proposing amendments to IAS 37, with complementary adjustments to the termination benefits requirements of IAS 19. The proposals include greater specificity regarding accounting for special involuntary termination benefits.

The AcSB issued an Exposure Draft that requires recognition of the over/underfunded status of an entity's defined benefit plan on the balance sheet and measurement of plan assets and related obligations as at the balance sheet date. The proposals in the Exposure Draft would not change the benefit cost charged to expense in a period. Recognition of the funded status on the balance sheet is permitted but not required under IAS 19 and the benefit cost charged to expense in a period could differ in some respects.

Employee benefits is identified as a longer-term convergence project.

Significance of differences

Significant for entities with particular fact situations.

CICA Handbook**Section 3465: Income Taxes****IFRS equivalent:**

IAS 12: Income Taxes

SIC-25: Income Taxes — Changes in the Tax Status of an Enterprise or Its Shareholders

Comparison of accounting treatments

Section 3465 and IAS 12 are **converged**, **except** that IAS 12:

- (i) continues to allocate to equity any current-year deferred taxes on items that are related to an item charged to equity in a prior year ("backward tracing");
- (ii) prohibits recognition of a deferred tax asset if it arises from the initial recognition of an asset or liability in a transaction that is not a business combination and does not affect accounting or taxable income at the time;
- (iii) requires recognition of a deferred tax liability or asset for temporary differences that arise on translation of non-monetary assets that are remeasured from the local currency to the functional currency using historical rates and result from changes in exchange rates and indexing for tax purposes;
- (iv) requires recognition of an income tax asset or liability when there is a temporary difference on intercompany transfers of assets;
- (v) requires the application of average tax rates; and
- (vi) addresses the consequences of a change in tax status of the entity. SIC-25 requires that the effects of such a change be allocated based on its origin.

Work in process:

IASB and FASB have commenced a project to converge their standards. AcSB intends to issue **converged** standards on income tax shortly after those resulting from this current joint IASB/FASB project.

Significance of differences

Significant impact in particular tax situations.

Most differences expected to be eliminated by work in process.





CICA Handbook

Section 3475: Long-Lived Assets and Discontinued Operations

IFRS equivalent:

IFRS 5: Non-current Assets Held for Sale and Discontinued Operations

Comparison of accounting treatments

Section 3475 and IFRS 5 are **converged, except** that IFRS 5 contains a more restrictive definition of a discontinued operation.

Work in process:

IASB and FASB have commenced a project on Financial Statement Presentation to improve the presentation of information in certain financial statements and will define discontinued operations. AcSB intends to issue **converged** standards at the same time.

Significance of differences

May be significant for discontinued operations that would not qualify under the more restrictive definition in IFRS 5.

CICA Handbook

Section 3480: Extraordinary Items

IFRS equivalent:

IAS 1: Presentation of Financial Statements

Comparison of accounting treatments

Section 3480 **differs** from IAS 1 as IAS 1 does not allow separate presentation of extraordinary items.

Work in process:

IASB and FASB have commenced a project on Financial Statement Presentation to improve the presentation of information in certain financial statements. AcSB intends to issue **converged** standards at the same time.

Significance of differences

Significant in limited circumstances — could affect net income, but not likely to require new information to be determined.





CICA Handbook

Section 3500: Earnings per Share

IFRS equivalent:

IAS 33: Earnings per Share

Comparison of accounting treatments

Section 3500 and IAS 33 are **converged, except** that IAS 33:

- (i) does not require presentation of earnings per share for income or loss before discontinued operations and extraordinary items; and
- (ii) does not allow rebuttal of the presumption of share settlement treatment on contracts that may be settled in shares or cash, based on past experience of contract settlements.

Work in process:

IASB has a current project that proposes to amend IAS 33. The AcSB intends to adopt the proposed changes and converge Section 3500 with revised IAS 33 when the IASB issues the revised standard.

Significance of differences

Slight — conflict only in limited fact situations.

Difference causing conflict expected to be eliminated by work in process but work in process likely to result in change from present requirements and practices.

CICA Handbook

Section 3610: Capital Transactions

IFRS equivalent:

Comparison of accounting treatments

There is no corresponding IFRS.

Work in process:

None.

Significance of differences

Slight — no significant conflicts.





CICA Handbook

Section 3800: Government Assistance

IFRS equivalent:

IAS 20: Accounting for Government Grants and Disclosure of Government Assistance

SIC-10: Government Assistance — No Specific Relation to Operating Activities

Comparison of accounting treatments

Section 3800, IAS 20 and SIC-10 are **converged, except** that IAS 20:

- (i) permits, and provides guidance on, the recognition of non-monetary government grants at zero; and
- (ii) provides guidance on biological assets.

Work in process:

IASB has deferred consideration of changes to IAS 20 to address accounting for government grants using a fair value model until further work is completed on IAS 37 and IAS 41.

This is also a topic for short-term convergence with U.S. GAAP. AcSB may consider similar revisions.

Significance of differences

Significant for entities with particular types of government grants.

CICA Handbook

Section 3805: Investment Tax Credits

IFRS equivalent:

Comparison of accounting treatments

There is **no corresponding IFRS**. IAS 12, *Income Taxes*, and IAS 20, *Accounting for Government Grants and Disclosures of Government Assistance*, specifically scope out investment tax credits.

Work in process:

IASB and FASB have commenced a project to converge their income tax standards. AcSB intends to issue **converged** standards on income tax shortly after those resulting from this current joint IASB/FASB project.

Significance of differences

May be significant conflict for enterprises with investment tax credits if current project does not address investment tax credits.





CICA Handbook

Section 3820: Subsequent Events

IFRS equivalent:

IAS 10: Events After the Balance Sheet Date

Comparison of accounting treatments

Section 3820 and IAS 10 are **converged**, **except** that IAS 10:

- (i) requires reporting of subsequent events to the date of authorization for issue of financial statements; and
- (ii) requires disclosure of the date of authorization for issue and who gave that authorization.

Work in process:

None.

Significance of differences

Slight — no significant conflicts, but additional work may be necessary, resulting from longer reporting period.

CICA Handbook

Section 3831: Non-Monetary Transactions

IFRS equivalent:

IAS 16: Property, Plant and Equipment

IAS 38: Intangible Assets

IAS 40: Investment Property

SIC-31: Revenue — Barter Transactions Involving Advertising Services

Comparison of accounting treatments

Section 3831 is **more comprehensive** than IAS 16, IAS 38 and IAS 40 as Section 3831 applies to a broader range of non-monetary transactions.

Sections 3400 and 3831 provide **less comprehensive** guidance than SIC-31 on barter transactions involving advertising services.

Work in process:

None.

Significance of differences

Significant for certain non-monetary transactions.

Barter transaction difference could be significant for entities that barter advertising services.





CICA Handbook

Section 3840: Related Party Transactions

IFRS equivalent:

IAS 24: Related Party Transactions

Comparison of accounting treatments

Section 3840 **differs** from IAS 24 as IAS 24 does not contain requirements for measuring related party transactions or guidance on the resulting treatment of any gains and losses.

Also, IAS 24 does not exclude from its scope management compensation arrangements, expense allowances and similar payments to individuals in the normal course of operations.

Section 3840 and IAS 24 disclosure requirements are **converged**.

Work in process:

The IASB issued an exposure draft that amends IAS 24 to address disclosure of transactions by state-controlled entities and transactions between a subsidiary of a significant investor of an associate and the associate.

Significance of differences

Slight — no significant conflicts, except in specific circumstances.

Additional disclosures by non-public companies⁴ for management compensation arrangements and similar matters could be significant.

⁴ Securities regulations presently require this information for public companies.

CICA Handbook

Section 3841: Economic Dependence

IFRS equivalent:

Comparison of accounting treatments

There is no corresponding IFRS.

Work in process:

None

Significance of differences

Slight — no significant conflicts.



**CICA Handbook****Section 3850: Interest Capitalized — Disclosure Considerations****IFRS equivalent:**

IAS 23: Borrowing Costs

Comparison of accounting treatments

Section 3850 **differs** from IAS 23 as IAS 23 does not allow the expensing of borrowing costs, to the extent they are directly attributable to acquisition, production and construction of a qualifying asset. IAS 23 also includes guidance on how to determine the amount of borrowing costs eligible for capitalization.

Work in process:

None. The AcSB does not plan to adopt, until changeover, the IASB's recent amendments to IAS 23 that eliminate the option of expensing borrowing costs to the extent they are directly attributable to acquisition, production and construction of a qualifying asset.

Significance of differences

Significant for entities whose accounting policy is to expense borrowing costs.

CICA Handbook**Section 3855: Financial Instruments — Recognition and Measurement****IFRS equivalent:**

IAS 39: Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement

Comparison of accounting treatments

Section 3855 and IAS 39 are **converged, except** that IAS 39:

- (i) restricts the circumstances in which the option to measure a financial instrument at fair value through profit or loss is available;
- (ii) requires quoted loans to be measured at fair value through profit or loss, whereas Section 3855 classifies these as loans and receivables and accounts for them at amortized cost (other than debt securities, which may be classified as held for trading, held to maturity or available for sale);
- (iii) requires all available-for-sale financial assets to be measured at fair value unless fair value is not reliably determinable, whereas Section 3855 requires non-quoted equity instruments classified as available for sale to be measured at cost;
- (iv) requires foreign exchange gains and losses on available-for-sale financial assets to be recognized immediately in net income;
- (v) does not allow a choice of accounting policy for transaction costs;
- (vi) does not address financial instruments exchanged or issued in related party transactions; and
- (vii) requires reversal of impairment losses.

Work in process:

In the long term, IASB, FASB and AcSB are all considering improvements to their standards on financial instruments. However, the intention would be to converge with one another on any improvements.

Significance of differences

Significant for some entities with particular fact situations.





CICA Handbook

Section 3861: Financial Instruments – Disclosure and Presentation

IFRS equivalent:

IAS 32: Financial Instruments: Presentation

IFRS 7: Financial Instruments: Disclosures

Comparison of accounting treatments

The presentation requirements of Section 3861 and IAS 32 are **converged**, **except** that IAS 32:

- (i) does not apply to insurance contracts (however, IFRS 4 requires disclosures similar to those specified in IAS 32);
- (ii) addresses the presentation of derivatives on an entity's own equity; and
- (iii) does not allow for initial measurement of a compound financial instrument using the relative fair value method.

The disclosure requirements of IFRS 7 are generally **more comprehensive** than Section 3861 as IFRS 7:

- (i) requires only that entities disclose information that enables users of their financial statements to evaluate the significance of financial instruments, rather than specific contractual terms and conditions of financial instruments;
- (ii) requires disclosures about financial instruments classified into (as well as out of) a fair value classification;
- (iii) requires more specific disclosures about collateral;
- (iv) requires disclosure of the existence of multiple embedded derivatives whose values are interdependent, when these are contained in an instrument having both a liability and an equity component;
- (v) does not encourage (or require) disclosures about average aggregate carrying amounts during the year, average aggregate principal during the year, or aggregate fair value during the year;
- (vi) requires disclosure of the disposition of any inception profit that might result from the use of a valuation technique used to measure a financial instrument that has no active market price;
- (vii) requires extensive disclosures about exposures to liquidity, currency and other price risks; and
- (viii) requires an analysis of the sensitivity of net income to possible changes in market risk factors.

Work in process:

FASB has commenced a project on liabilities and equity, with which IASB and AcSB expect to converge (i) and (iii) of the presentation differences.

Significance of differences

Significant effect on presentation and disclosure of certain financial instruments. Differences expected to be eliminated by work in process.

Section 3861 can be applied only to insurance contracts by entities choosing not to apply the disclosures required in Section 3862. See Section 3862.





CICA Handbook

Section 3862: Financial Instruments — Disclosure

IFRS equivalent:

IFRS 7: Financial Instruments: Disclosures

Comparison of accounting treatments

The disclosure requirements of Section 3862 and IFRS 7 are **converged, except** that IFRS 7:

- (i) does not apply to insurance contracts, however IFRS 4 requires the disclosure as specified in IFRS 7;
- (ii) does apply to partially derecognized assets;
- (iii) requires disclosure of any remedy or renegotiation on the terms of a loan in default obtained prior to the financial statements being “authorized for issue” versus “completed”; and
- (iv) requires less specific disclosures about hedging transactions.

Work in process:

None.

Significance of differences

Slight — no significant conflicts.

CICA Handbook

Section 3863: Financial Instruments — Presentation

IFRS equivalent:

IAS 32: Financial Instruments: Presentation

Comparison of accounting treatments

The presentation requirements of Section 3863 and IAS 32 are **converged, except** that IAS 32:

- (i) does not apply to insurance contracts;
- (ii) addresses the presentation of derivatives on an entity's own equity; and
- (iii) does not allow for initial measurement of a compound financial instrument using the relative fair value method.

Work in process:

FASB has commenced a project on liabilities and equity, with which IASB and AcSB expect to converge.

Significance of differences

Slight — no significant conflicts.

Differences (i) and (iii) are expected to be eliminated by work in process, but work in process likely to result in change from present requirements and practices.





CICA Handbook

Section 3865: Hedges

IFRS equivalent:

IAS 39: Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement

Comparison of accounting treatments

Section 3865 and IAS 39 are **converged, except** that IAS 39 permits fair value hedge accounting for a portfolio hedge of interest rate risk.

Work in process:

In the long term, IASB, FASB and AcSB are all considering improvements to their standards on financial instruments. However, the intention would be to converge with one another on any improvements.

Significance of differences

Slight — no significant conflicts (hedge accounting is optional).

CICA Handbook**Section 3870: Stock-Based Compensation and Other Stock-Based Payments****IFRS equivalent:**

IFRS 2: Share-based Payments

Comparison of accounting treatments

Section 3870 and IFRS 2 are **converged, except** that IFRS 2:

- (i) does not provide an exemption for the recognition of an expense when an employee share purchase plan provides a discount to employees that does not exceed the per-share amount of share issuance costs that would have been incurred to raise a significant amount of capital by a public offering and is not extended to other holders of the same class of shares;
- (ii) defaults to using the fair value of the non-tradeable equity instruments granted if the value of received goods or non-employee service is not reliably measurable;
- (iii) requires that share-based payments to non-employees be measured at the date the entity obtains the goods or the counterparty renders service;
- (iv) requires cash-settled share-based payments are measured at the fair value of the liability not intrinsic value;
- (v) requires the transaction to be accounted for as a cash-settled transaction if the entity has incurred a liability to settle in cash or other assets, or as an equity-settled transaction if no such liability has been incurred; and
- (vi) is more detailed about how to deal with a modification of an award.

Work in process:

IASB has issued an Exposure Draft proposing to restrict vesting conditions to service or performance conditions (consistent with Section 3870) and clarify the treatment of cancellations by parties other than the entity.

Significance of differences

Significant impact for certain share-based payment arrangements.





CICA Handbook

Section 4100: Pension Plans

IFRS equivalent:

IAS 26: Accounting and Reporting by Retirement Benefit Plans

Comparison of accounting treatments

Section 4100 **differs** from IAS 26 as IAS 26:

- (i) does not require a statement of changes in net assets available for benefits;
- (ii) does not require information on pension obligations be included in the statements of a defined contribution plan; and
- (iii) permits the actuarial valuation with or without salary projection and without prorating the effect.

Work in process:

None.

The AcSB has yet to conclude whether to adopt IAS 26 at changeover.

Significance of differences

Slight — no significant conflicts.



CICA Handbook

Section 4211: Life Insurance Enterprises — Specific Items

IFRS equivalent:

IFRS 4: Insurance Contracts

IAS 36: Impairment of Assets

IAS 40: Investment Property

Comparison of accounting treatments

Section 4211 **differs** from IFRS 4, IAS 36 and IAS 40 as IFRSs:

- (i) provide limited guidance;
- (ii) do not address actuarial liabilities, reinsurance and retrocession, segregated accounts and income and distributions;
- (iii) do not permit presentation of discretionary participation features separately from liabilities and equity; and
- (iv) permit investment property to be measured at fair value versus the moving average market value method.

(See also Section 3051 above for differences regarding impairment testing and AcG-3, AcG-8 and AcG-9 below.)

Work in process:

IASB has commenced a project to introduce new requirements for insurance contracts. Project is expected to become a joint IASB/FASB initiative. AcSB intends to issue harmonized requirements at the same time as IASB.

Significance of differences

Significant for life insurance enterprises in limited areas. Differences expected to be eliminated by work in process but work in process likely to result in change from present requirements and practices.



CICA Handbook

Section 4250: Future-Oriented Financial Information

IFRS equivalent:

Comparison of accounting treatments

There is no corresponding IFRS.

Work in process:

None.

Significance of differences

Slight — no significant conflicts.

CICA Handbook

Sections 4400-4460: Not-for-Profit Organizations

IFRS equivalent:

Comparison of accounting treatments

There are **no corresponding IFRSs**.

Work in process:

AcSB Not-for-Profit Advisory Committee is considering improvements to Sections 4400- 4460.

Significance of differences

Significant in certain circumstances.⁵



5 The strategic plan proposes that not-for-profit organizations (NFPO) continue to apply those elements of GAAP for profit-oriented enterprises that are applicable to them. In adopting IFRSs, however, further decisions are required to determine if this should apply to all NFPOs.



CICA Handbook

Accounting Guideline AcG-2: Franchise Fee Revenue

IFRS equivalent:

IAS 18: Revenue

Comparison of accounting treatments

AcG-2 is **more comprehensive** than IAS 18.

Work in process:

See Section 3400 above.

Significance of differences

Slight — no significant conflicts.

CICA Handbook

**Accounting Guideline AcG-3: Financial
Reporting by Property and Casualty Companies**

IFRS equivalent:

IFRS 4: Insurance Contracts

Comparison of accounting treatments

AcG-3 **differs** from IFRS 4 as IFRS 4 contains only limited requirements.

Work in process:

See Section 4211 above.

Significance of differences

Significant for property and casualty companies in limited areas. Differences expected to be eliminated by work in process but work in process likely to result in change from present requirements and practices.





CICA Handbook

**Accounting Guideline AcG-4: Fees and Costs
Associated with Lending Activities**

IFRS equivalent:

IAS 18: Revenue

Comparison of accounting treatments

AcG-4 is **more comprehensive** than IAS 18.

Work in process:

See Section 3400 above.

Significance of differences

Slight — no significant conflicts.

CICA Handbook

Accounting Guideline AcG-7: The Management Report

IFRS equivalent:

Comparison of accounting treatments

There is no corresponding IFRS.

Work in process:

None.

Significance of differences

Slight — no significant conflicts.





CICA Handbook

Accounting Guideline AcG-8: Actuarial Liabilities of Life Insurance Enterprises – Disclosure

IFRS equivalent:

IAS 32: Financial Instruments: Presentation

IFRS 4: Insurance Contracts

Comparison of accounting treatments

AcG-8 is **more comprehensive** than IAS 32 and IFRS 4 as AcG-8 provides additional guidance as to how the requirements of Sections 1508 and 3861 are to be applied to actuarial liabilities.

Work in process:

See Section 4211 above.

Significance of differences

Significant for life insurance enterprises in limited areas. Differences expected to be eliminated by work in process but work in process likely to result in change from present requirements and practices.

CICA Handbook

Accounting Guideline AcG-9: Financial Reporting by Life Insurance Enterprises

IFRS equivalent:

IFRS 4: Insurance Contracts

Comparison of accounting treatments

AcG-9 **differs** from IFRS 4 as IFRS 4 contains only limited requirements.

Work in process:

See Section 4211 above.

Significance of differences

Significant for life insurance enterprises in limited areas. Differences expected to be eliminated by work in process but work in process likely to result in change from present requirements and practices.



***CICA Handbook*****Accounting Guideline AcG 11: Enterprises in the Development Stage****EIC-27: Revenues and Expenditures During the Pre-operating Period****IFRS equivalent:**

IAS 38: Intangible Assets

Comparison of accounting treatments

AcG-11 **differs** from IAS 38 as IAS 38 precludes capitalizing intangibles that would be permitted by AcG-11. (For example, IAS 38 would not allow pre-operating costs to be capitalized as detailed in EIC-27, which interprets AcG 11.)

Work in process:

Current AcSB project on deferral of costs / internally developed intangible assets proposes to eliminate ability to capitalize pre-operating period expenses.

Significance of differences

Significant for entities in development stage or entities with significant pre-operating period costs.

Differences expected to be eliminated by work in process but work in process likely to result in change from present requirements and practices.

CICA Handbook

Accounting Guideline AcG 12:Transfers of Receivables

IFRS equivalent:

IAS 39: Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement

Comparison of accounting treatments

AcG-12 **differs** from IAS 39 as IAS 39:

- (i) addresses the derecognition of other financial instruments, such as securities lending transactions or sale and repurchase agreements; and
- (ii) does not focus on legal isolation, but on risks and rewards of ownership.

Work in process:

AcSB has a project to amend AcG-12 that intends to maintain convergence with U.S. GAAP.

In the long term, IASB, FASB and AcSB are all considering improvements to their standards on derecognition. However, the intention would be to converge with one another on any improvements.

Significance of differences

Significant for entities undertaking securitization and similar transactions.





CICA Handbook

Accounting Guideline AcG 14: Disclosure of Guarantees

IFRS equivalent:

IAS 37, Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets

IAS 39, Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement

Comparison of accounting treatments

AcG-14 **differs** from IAS 37 as:

- (i) IAS 37 addresses recognition and measurement requirements for non-financial guarantees, as well as disclosure; and
- (ii) IAS 37 addresses subsequent measurement more extensively than Section 3290.

Work in process:

IASB has issued an Exposure Draft amending aspects of IAS 37 (see Section 3290 above). The proposed changes will not eliminate the differences with AcG-14.

Significance of differences

Significant for subsequent measurement of guarantees.

CICA Handbook

Accounting Guideline AcG 15: Variable Interest Entities

IFRS equivalent:

SIC-12: Consolidation — Special Purpose Entities

Comparison of accounting treatments

AcG-15 **differs** from SIC-12 as SIC-12:

- (i) does not deal with variable interest entities (VIEs) in the same manner, and relies on the general principles of consolidation; and
- (ii) is less detailed. However, both rely on similar underlying principles.

Work in process:

IASB and FASB have commenced a joint project on consolidations, which is considering accounting for VIEs. AcSB will consider similar revisions.

Significance of differences

Significant for some interests in VIEs.





CICA Handbook

Accounting Guideline AcG 16: Oil and Gas Accounting — Full Cost EIC-126: Accounting By Mining Enterprises For Exploration Costs

IFRS equivalent:

IAS 16: Property, Plant and Equipment

IAS 36: Impairment of Assets

IFRS 6: Exploration for and Evaluation of Mineral Resources

Comparison of accounting treatments

All of AcG-16, EIC-126 and certain portions of Section 3061 are **more comprehensive** than IFRS 6 as IFRS 6 only provides guidance during the exploration and the evaluation of mineral resources up to the point that technical feasibility and commercial viability of extracting is demonstrated. IFRS 6 would permit a form of full cost accounting only during the exploration and evaluation phases, but the full cost accounting model cannot be extended to the development and production phases. Accounting during these phases will generally be by analogy to IAS 16 and IAS 36.

Work in process:

IASB has commenced a research project on extractive industries. AcSB expects to reconsider its standards in conjunction with that project.

Significance of differences

Might be significant conflict for enterprises currently using full cost accounting in the development and production phases.

Differences expected to be eliminated by work in process, but work in process likely to result in change from present requirements and practices.

IFRS Standards with no CICA Handbook equivalents



IFRS 1, First-time Adoption of International Financial Reporting Standards

There is no Canadian standard providing exceptions to the normal basis of application when a new basis of accounting is applied for the first time. The usual requirements for changes in accounting policies would apply (see Section 1100 and Section 1506 above).

Potential impact: Significant on first-time adoption of IFRSs.

IAS 37, Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets

IAS 37 requires a best estimate of the obligation or when there is a large population of items an expected value method should be applied in measuring liability provisions. If the time value of money is material, then discounting should be applied. Canadian standards dealing with “provisions” are limited to the application of the definition of a liability in Section 1000.

Potential impact: Slight — no significant conflicts.

IAS 41, Agriculture

IAS 41 provides specific guidance in dealing with agriculture. For example, IAS 41 requires that biological assets, as defined, be measured at fair value less estimated point-of-sale costs.

Potential impact: Significant differences for entities with agricultural operations.



IFRS Standards cross referenced to the CICA Handbook

	International Financial Reporting Standards	Handbook Sections	Accounting Guidelines	EIC Abstracts
IAS 1	Presentation of Financial Statements	1000, 1300, 1400, 1505, 1508, 1510, 1520, 1530, 1535, 3000, 3020, 3210, 3240, 3251, 3260, 3480		59, 122
IAS 2	Inventories	3030		
—	IAS 3 has been superseded by IAS 27 and IAS 28	—		
—	IAS 4 has been superseded by IAS 36 and IAS 38	—		
—	IAS 5 has been superseded by IAS 1	—		
—	IAS 6 has been superseded by IAS 15	—		
IAS 7	Cash Flow Statements	1540, 1651		34, 47
IAS 8	Accounting Policies, Changes in Accounting Estimates and Errors	1100, 1506, 3480, 3610		
—	IAS 9 has been superseded by IAS 38			
IAS 10	Events After the Balance Sheet Date	3820		
IAS 11	Construction Contracts	1505, 1508, 3030, 3400		65, 78
IAS 12	Income Taxes	1300, 3465		120, 136, 146

	International Financial Reporting Standards	Handbook Sections	Accounting Guidelines	EIC Abstracts
—	IAS 13 has been superseded by IAS 1	—		
	IAS 14 has been superseded by IFRS 8			
—	IAS 15 has been withdrawn	—		
IAS 16	Property, Plant and Equipment	1400, 1506, 1520, 3061, 3280, 3831		27, 80, 86, 126
IAS 17	Leases	1520, 3065		19, 21, 25, 30, 46, 52, 61, 85, 97, 150
IAS 18	Revenue	3400	2, 4	65, 123, 141, 142, 143, 156
IAS 19	Employee Benefits	3461		134, 162
IAS 20	Accounting for Government Grants and Disclosure of Government Assistance	1520, 3800		
IAS 21	The Effects of Changes in Foreign Exchange Rates	1651		130
—	IAS 22 has been superseded by IFRS 3	—		
IAS 23	Borrowing Costs	1505, 3061, 3850		12
IAS 24	Related Party Disclosures	3840		79, 83
—	IAS 25 has been superseded by IAS 39 and IAS 40	—		
IAS 26	Accounting and Reporting by Retirement Benefit Plans	4100		
IAS 27	Consolidated and Separate Financial Statements	1300, 1590, 1600, 3051	15	163
IAS 28	Investments in Associates	1300, 3051	18	8
IAS 29	Financial Reporting in Hyperinflationary Economies	1651		





	International Financial Reporting Standards	Handbook Sections	Accounting Guidelines	EIC Abstracts
—	IAS 30 has been superseded by IFRS 7	—		
IAS 31	Interests in Joint Ventures	1300, 3055, 3831	18	38
IAS 32	Financial Instruments: Presentation	1300, 3863		50, 69, 70, 74, 75, 94, 96, 148, 149
IAS 33	Earnings per Share	3500		10, 40, 50, 155
IAS 34	Interim Financial Reporting	1505, 1751, 3461, 3870		
—	IAS 35 has been superseded by IFRS 5	—		
IAS 36	Impairment of Assets	1581, 3025, 3051, 3061, 3062, 3063, 4211		61, 64, 126, 129, 133, 136, 152
IAS 37	Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets	1000, 1508, 3110, 3280, 3290, 3475	14	91, 134, 135, 159
IAS 38	Intangible Assets	1581, 3061, 3062, 3450		55, 86, 118
IAS 39	Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement	1300, 1651, 3025, 3855, 3865	12, 14, 18	69, 88, 96, 101
IAS 40	Investment Property	3061		
IAS 41	Agriculture	—		
IFRS 1	First-time Adoption of International Financial Reporting Standards	—		
IFRS 2	Share-based Payment	3870		127, 132
IFRS 3	Business Combinations	1300, 1581, 1600, 3062		10, 14, 42, 55, 64, 66, 73, 76, 94, 114, 119, 124, 125, 127, 137, 140, 152, 154
IFRS 4	Insurance Contracts	4211	3, 8, 9	



	International Financial Reporting Standards	Handbook Sections	Accounting Guidelines	EIC Abstracts
IFRS 5	Non-current Assets Held for Sale and Discontinued Operations	3475		135, 161, 153
IFRS 6	Exploration for and Evaluation of Mineral Resources	3061, 3063	11, 16	126, 152, 160
IFRS 7	Financial Instruments: Disclosures	3025, 3862		
IFRS 8	Operating Segments	1701		115

Provincial Institutes/Ordre

The Institute of Chartered Accountants of Bermuda
31 Queen Street
Boyle Building, 2nd Floor
Hamilton, Bermuda HM 12
(441) 292-7479
www.icab.bm

The Institute of Chartered Accountants of Nova Scotia
1791 Barrington Street,
Suite 1101
Halifax, Nova Scotia B3J 3L1
(902) 425-3291
www.icans.ns.ca

The New Brunswick Institute of Chartered Accountants
55 Union Street, Suite 250
Mercantile Centre
Saint John, New Brunswick E2L 5B7
(506) 634-1588
www.nbica.org

The Institute of Chartered Accountants of Prince Edward Island
P.O. Box 301 – 129 Kent Street
Suite 203
Charlottetown, PEI C1A 7K7
(902) 894-4290
www.icapei.com

The Institute of Chartered Accountants of Newfoundland
95 Bonaventure Avenue,
5th Floor
P.O. Box 21130
St. John's, Newfoundland A1A 5B2
(709) 753-7566
www.ican.nfld.net

Ordre des comptables agréés du Québec
680, rue Sherbrooke Ouest,
18^e étage
Montréal, Québec H3A 2S3
(514) 288-3256
1 800 363-4688
www.ocag.qc.ca

The Institute of Chartered Accountants of Ontario
69 Bloor Street East
Toronto, Ontario M4W 1B3
(416) 962-1841 1 800 387-0735
www.icao.on.ca

The Institute of Chartered Accountants of Manitoba
500 – 161 Portage Avenue East
Winnipeg, Manitoba R3B 0Y4
(204) 942-8248
1 888 942-8248
www.iam.mb.ca

The Institute of Chartered Accountants of Saskatchewan
3621 Pasqua Street
Regina, Saskatchewan S4S 6W8
(306) 359-1010
www.icas.sk.ca

The Institute of Chartered Accountants of Alberta
580 Manulife Place, 10180 – 101 Street
Edmonton, Alberta T5J 4R2
(780) 424-7391
1 800 232-9406
(for Alberta, outside Edmonton)
www.icaa.ab.ca

The Institute of Chartered Accountants of British Columbia
Suite 500, One Bentall Centre
505 Burrard Street, Box 22
Vancouver, British Columbia V7X 1M4
604-681-3264
1 800 663-2677
www.ica.bc.ca

If you are in the Yukon, please contact the Institute of Chartered Accountants of British Columbia.

If you are in the Northwest Territories or Nunavut, please contact the Institute of Chartered Accountants of Alberta.

The Canadian Institute of Chartered Accountants
277 Wellington Street West,
Toronto, Ontario M5V 3H2
(416) 977-3222
www.cica.ca

